# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/772,596	01/30/2001	Mark R. Bennett	END9-2000-0188US1	2376
23550	7590 05/19/2005		EXAMINER	
HOFFMAN WARNICK & D'ALESSANDRO, LLC			SMITH, JEFFREY A	
3 E-COMM ALBANY, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3625	
	•		DATE MAILED: 05/19/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/772,596	BENNETT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeffrey A. Smith	3625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 February 2005.						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-12,14,16-23 and 25-31</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-12,14,16-23 and 25-31</u> is/are rejected.						
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 April 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/20/04.	6) Other:	2.0 / WP1100.11011 (1 1 0-102)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)  Office Ad	ction Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 05152005				

Application/Control Number: 09/772,596

Art Unit: 3625

#### DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 24, 2005 has been entered.

#### Response to Amendment

The amendment filed January 24, 2005 has been entered and considered. By this amendment:

claims 1, 2, 4-12, 14, 16-23, 25-31 are pending; claims 3, 13, 15, and 24 are cancelled.

An action on the merits follows.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed September 20, 2004 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is

presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR

1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information,
of each patent listed that is not in the English language. It
has been placed in the application file, but the information
referred to therein has not been considered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 4-10, 18-23, and 25-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hamlin (U.S. Patent No. 6,310,888 B1).

Hamlin discloses a system and program product for exchanging information between at least two trading partners.

The system comprises a translation system for translating a transaction element sent from a first trading partner intended

for a second trading partner specified by the first trading partner. The transaction element is translated from a first partner proprietary schema to a universal schema and from the universal schema to a second partner proprietary schema.

Translation of the element comprises translating a data format and an application format of the element (col. 1, lines 5-14; col. 2, line 59-col. 3, line 4).

The system further comprises a routing system (col. 3, lines 61-64) and a transaction management system for tracking the status of the transaction element ("receipt verification": col. 3, lines 5-15).

A mapping system includes a system for determining: a source of the transaction element, and application to which the transaction is regarding, and a recipient to which the transaction should be routed. See col. 4, lines 35-47.

A management system (104) is disclosed which controls data management, security, administration, and provides a partner directory.

Regarding designations related to the automotive industry and related partners: The Examiner notes that the mere designation of the system, partners, and data as being "automotive" in nature caries no structural implication and accordingly such designations do not move to otherwise

structurally distinguish the instant invention from the structure of the system disclosed by Hamlin. These mere designations do not impact either the structure or operation of the Hamlin system.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11, 14, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.

103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamlin (U.S. Patent No.

6,310,888 B1) in view of "EDI-the grand daddy of electronic commerce", BT Technol J, Vol. 17, No. 3, July 1999, pp. 17-23 (hereafter "EDI").

Hamlin does not disclose a method for exchanging automotive information. Hamlin, rather, discloses, more generically, a method for exchanging information between processing systems such as an inventory management system, a financial processing

system, a shipping control system, point of sale equipment, bar code scanning systems, or a warehouse management system.

Page 6

EDI teaches that process driven industries such as retail distribution, automotive manufacture, and international logistics are sub areas of "supply chain driven trading".

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided a method for exchanging automotive information between at least two automotive industry trading partners (similar to supply chain driven trading between partners in the automotive manufacturing area taught by EDI) as a more specific application of the generic method of exchanging information between processing systems such as the inventory management system, the financial processing system, the shipping control system, the point of sale equipment, the bar code scanning systems, or the warehouse management system already taught by Hamlin.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The additional references cited disclose various features which are similar to those disclosed by Applicant.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey A. Smith whose telephone number is (571) 272-6763. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wynn Coggins can be reached on (571) 272-7159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/772,596 Page 8

Art Unit: 3625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Deffrey A. Smith Primary Examiner Art Unit 3625

jas